

## D. Public Meeting Comments

**Public Meeting  
 Travis Ranch School  
 Thursday, January 16, 2014**

The comments contained in this matrix are summarized. Most of the comments were responded to at the meeting and the verbal responses are included in the transcript. Commenters will be directed to the transcript, Topical Responses in the Response to Comment document or referred to areas of the DEIR for additional information. Where the commenter also submitted comments in writing, the email or letter number is included parenthetically under the commenter's name for reference. The entire transcript is provided as Appendix G to this Response to Comments document.

Commenter Name	Comments	Response
Ralph Mundia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a fire, you won't be able to get people out</li> <li>• No need for more houses</li> </ul>	Please refer to Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan.
Kim Paul (Letter L28)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presented recap of evacuation during 2008 Freeway Fire</li> <li>• Provided flash drive with pictures of fire</li> <li>• Described pictures and timing of fire progress</li> <li>• Related personal evacuation experience</li> <li>• Existing streets cannot handle 500 additional homes</li> <li>• There are no new streets - no proposed streets</li> <li>• Don't know what trails are for because rest of community can't get into development</li> <li>• Parents will enter evacuation area if family members are there (at schools, in homes)</li> <li>• No fire trucks present on her street</li> <li>• Firemen using garden hoses</li> <li>• City did not build reservoirs that residents paid for</li> </ul>	Please refer to Topical Response 1 - Fire Hazard and Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan
Kent Ebinger (Email E20)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project is going through a rezoning through the County</li> <li>• No mention that applicant has approached Friends and Texaco regarding ownership</li> <li>• Because of the reverse 911 during the 2008 Fire, the 210 freeway became a parking lot</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript.
Rob Bartels (Email E18 and letter L25)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quoted Todd Spitzer letter as saying the 2008 Fire evacuation was "virtually impossible"</li> <li>• Adding 1,000 vehicles to a virtually impossible evacuation with no new roads is insanity</li> <li>• Only four sheriff's deputies are on a shift</li> <li>• All of the intersections cannot be manned by a deputy</li> <li>• Accidents on evacuation routes compound the problem</li> <li>• Emergency vehicles will not get to the project</li> <li>• OCFA cannot provide same information that residents can provide</li> <li>• Where will water for 350 homes come from during the drought</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript and in Letter L25.

Commenter Name	Comments	Response
Kenneth Peterson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objects to scope of project</li> <li>• Concurs with other speakers' concerns for evacuation</li> <li>• Noted that Lt. Wren wanted more emergency exits and that should be first priority for development</li> <li>• Focus on putting all projects together - not piecemealing</li> <li>• Project is larger than what the area will allow</li> </ul>	<p>Commenter is referred to Topical Responses 1 - Fire Hazard, 2 - Evacuation Plan, 3 - Traffic Ingress/Egress, 4 - Water Provision/ Capacity and 5 - Segmentation/ Piecemealing</p>
Brian Gass (Email E23)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Entrance depicted is larger than San Antonio - wider than the street that needs to get people off the mountain</li> <li>• Concerned about light intrusion into Chino Hills State Park - how it affects the predator-prey balance</li> <li>• How do project plus Cielo Vista affect dark skies</li> <li>• Disagrees with statement that San Antonio could handle 12,000 cars a day</li> <li>• Can San Antonio be widened?</li> </ul>	<p>Responses provided in transcript.</p>
Steven Pollack	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Possibly twice as many cars on San Antonio as currently</li> <li>• Consider the noise if Aspen Way is used - noise through the canyon</li> <li>• Sound walls would reduce noise but have aesthetic impact</li> <li>• When/how was traffic study conducted - what day</li> <li>• Evacuation plan - what about people who need to get back to their homes/families - there will be problems if people aren't allowed to go in</li> <li>• Will there be disclosure about a fault 120 feet from homes?</li> </ul>	<p>Responses provided in transcript. Please also refer to Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan.</p>
James Kloman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evacuation plan - four or five cops won't make a difference</li> <li>• Concern about 24,000 cars on Stonehaven in a day</li> <li>• A traffic signal at Via del Agua will not change anything because there are more cars</li> <li>• Evacuation plan - not enough cops to move traffic</li> </ul>	<p>Responses provided in transcript. Commenter is also referred to Topical Response 3 - Traffic Ingress/Egress and Chapter 5.14 in the DEIR.</p>
Ken Ryan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During 2008 fire - no fire personnel - residents put out fires</li> <li>• All projects should be coordinated from a process and design perspective</li> <li>• Primary access should be at the base of San Antonio - it minimizes impacts to people on San Antonio, Stonehaven and Via del Agua</li> <li>• Look at a less intensive alternative reducing the number of units</li> <li>• Hillside grading - plan is over engineered - City has policy that higher topography gets less dense</li> <li>• Where is passive open space and trails that connect neighborhoods - one that creates value and aesthetics and beauty</li> <li>• Oppose widening of existing streets</li> <li>• Plans have minimal landscaping - concern about beauty</li> <li>• What is the public benefit for clustering</li> </ul>	<p>Responses provided in transcript. Commenter is also referred to Topical Response 1 - Fire Hazard, Chapter 5.13 (Recreation), Chapter 5.14 (Transportation and Traffic) and Chapter 6 (Alternatives).</p>
Marlene Nelson (Letters L29, L30, L31, L32)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Who will be the builder</li> <li>• Have you relied on recent County GP amendments that were a result of the Saddlecrest Development that were struck down by the court</li> <li>• Primary access off Stonehaven - what measures have been taken for emergency access with an earthquake - if earthquake disrupts ingress/egress</li> <li>• Sheriff's office will not get to intersections fast enough</li> <li>• Regarding proposed left-hand turn extension (south on Yorba Linda Boulevard turning left on Via del Agua) - would almost reach Yorba Ranch Road - cars will be sitting in traffic lanes</li> </ul>	<p>Responses provided in transcript. Commenter is also referred to Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan.</p>

Commenter Name	Comments	Response
Jan Horton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water district never denies a project - do not believe there is enough water</li> <li>• Evacuation plan - how will traffic load from 91 Freeway be handled</li> <li>• Evacuation not only about controlling the intersections - people drove all their cars</li> <li>• Traffic analysis good for normal days but what about disasters</li> <li>• Not enough police to cover evacuation</li> <li>• Will people be trained about sheltering in place in hardened homes</li> <li>• How will project help existing homeowners</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript. Commenter is also referred to Topical Response 1 - Fire Hazard and Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan.
Ed Ehrman (Letter L23)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fire history missing a 1978 fire across from Kellogg</li> <li>• Traffic signal at Via del Agua and Yorba Linda Boulevard - assume it would be coordinated based on time of day. If an evacuation occurs it would be worse than it is today</li> <li>• Where were traffic counts on Via del Agua done and when</li> <li>• Cannot get out of Via del Cerro when traffic is backed up during evacuation - signal will not help</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript. Commenter is also referred to Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan and Topical Response 3 - Traffic Ingress/Egress.
Scott Kirby	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How much dirt will be moved and how long is it going to take</li> <li>• Questions about fill in Blue Mud Canyon due to emergency exit</li> <li>• 1200 trips a day currently on Via del Agua, modified capacity is 6250 - was evacuation scenario part of the traffic study?</li> <li>• What will the houses look like - no guarantee they will look like the video</li> <li>• Orange County Fire Authority is not a credible source - did not accurately report the 2008 fire</li> <li>• Hard to believe houses will not burn</li> <li>• Who will unchain gates at emergency roads</li> <li>• Need a road that connects to Esperanza and one that connects to Bastanchury</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript. Commenter is also referred to Chapter 5.14 - Transportation and Traffic and Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan.
Ken Newman (Letter L40)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What wind speeds were calculated coming down San Antonio - did you plan on 70 mile and hour winds with fire in them?</li> <li>• Oil well was like a blowtorch during the fire. What about the oil gathering lines in Blue Mud Canyon?</li> <li>• Rainwater fills Blue Mud Canyon</li> <li>• Sizes of transmission lines - danger if lines are nicked</li> <li>• No digging around pipelines that could rupture several years later</li> <li>• MWD eight-foot diameter line across San Antonio</li> <li>• Fire hydrant design - on wrong side of street on San Antonio</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript. Commenter is also referred to Topical Response 1 - Fire Hazard and Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan.
Rob Carrillo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic study on San Antonio - how man days/when</li> <li>• Difference between Option 2 and 2B? Option 2 more traffic</li> <li>• Did traffic study take into account left-turn lane off San Antonio - off Yorba Linda Boulevard turning into San Antonio - turn lane can't be extended because of the other left turn lane that turns into the other street off Yorba Linda Boulevard</li> <li>• Problem with construction vehicles getting through on San Antonio</li> <li>• Traffic counts should be done for several days</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript. Commenter is also referred to Chapter 5.14 - Transportation and Traffic, Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan and Topical Response 3 - Traffic Ingress/Egress.

Commenter Name	Comments	Response
Bob Kanne (Letter L39)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two hairpin turns in Option 1 - recommended speed</li> <li>• Show a similar right curve somewhere nearby and the warnings for the turn</li> <li>• Requested copy of power point presentation</li> <li>• Where is public parking for access to trails</li> <li>• How can you guarantee that the HOA will fulfill promises regarding fuel modification, flood control, park maintenance, landslides, pressurized water pipes</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript.
Linda Miller	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Who will provide homeowners insurance - most companies refuse coverage</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript.
Jim Wohlt	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How will fire-fighting teams get up there? Where's the helipad?</li> <li>• What are my resources? Bulldozers, engines? Fire station?</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript. Please also refer to Chapter 5.7 - Hazards and Hazardous Materials and Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan.
Danny Paul (Letter L28)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• City and County are culpable in helping solve problem of traffic congestion going into Stonehaven or Yorba Linda Boulevard</li> <li>• Need plan to move people out in 45 minutes</li> <li>• Roads are still the problem for getting people in and out</li> </ul>	Responses provided in transcript. Please also refer to Topical Response 2 - Evacuation Plan.
Beth Stollen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Putting a road behind my house and having a road in front is not fair</li> </ul>	Comment noted.